



IGNITE Call for Projects - Evaluation Criteria

Multidisciplinarity - Added value of the collaboration (40%)

5. Excellent. A clearly novel research direction is explored. Complementarity of the partners brings together the necessary expertise without which the project would otherwise not be possible

4. Very good. A novel multidisciplinary research direction is explored between groups that already had previous collaboration.

3. Good. The collaborative project is an extension on the current collaboration.

2. Sufficient. The collaborative project is essentially similar to the current research. Added value not clear.

1. Insufficient. No clear multidisciplinarity. The proposed project follows essentially the research of a single institute.

Novelty and Impact (40%)

5. Excellent. A ground-breaking research direction with novel concepts is proposed. Future impact well described. Clear perspective for a future larger project.

4. Very good. A new research direction is proposed. Future impact well described. Some perspective for a future larger project.

3. Good. An interesting new research direction is proposed. Potential future impact open-ended.

2. Sufficient. Interesting project with limited novel aspects

1. Insufficient. The proposed project extends on existing collaborations and novel elements remain unclear.

Viability (time, financing and objectives) (20%)

5. Excellent. Excellent short term seed project. Objectives realistic and in spirit of an exploration project. The 8-month project is well-planned and objectives are adequate for the time and resources allocated to develop them.

4. Very good. Very good short term seed project. Objectives realistic and in spirit of exploring project. The 8-month project is well-planned, but minor shortcomings are present with regards to its viability with the time/resources allocated.

3. Good. Good short term seed project. Objectives realistic and in spirit of exploring project. Yet, viability of the 8-month project not sufficiently described.

2.- Sufficient. Interesting short term seed project with concrete objectives. Yet unclear whether the exploration will ignite follow-up future collaborative research.

1. Insufficient. Nor 8-months project planning, nor purpose of the financial support worked out.